

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Jo Anne Barker,

Complainant,

vs.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan  
Transportation Authority *et. Alias*

and

Nossaman, Guthner Knox Elliot,  
LLP *et. alias*

Defendants.

Case 01-07-043  
(Filed July 16, 2001)

And Related Matters.

Application 00-10-012  
Application 00-10-020  
Application 00-10-033  
Application 00-10-039  
Application 00-10-050  
Application 00-11-015  
Application 00-11-016  
Application 00-11-029  
Application 00-11-032  
Application 00-11-033  
Application 00-11-034  
Application 00-11-040  
Application 00-11-050  
Application 01-06-011

### **ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING**

As stated in a document dated December 5, 2001, the Administrative Law Judge was approached by Complainant, Jo Anne Barker, during a recess of the hearings in Application 00-10-012, et al. At that time Complainant discussed an extension of time to file a response to Defendants' Request for Extension of Time to Answer, and Answer in the subject proceeding. I orally indicated that since the complaint was filed on July 16, 2001, and an answer was not filed until November 19, 2001, Defendants would be hard-pressed to object to an extension of time for a response to this late-filed answer. I informed Complainant that the correct procedure was to file a written request for extension of time to respond, with a copy served on Defendant. I consider the document of December 5, 2001, as a request.

**IT IS RULED** that:

1. It is not necessary to await a reply of Defendants to the request for extension of time to respond to Defendants' request.
2. Complainant shall have until January 15, 2002, to respond to the answer of Defendants in this proceeding.

Dated December 12, 2001, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ SHELDON ROSENTHAL

Sheldon Rosenthal  
Administrative Law Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated December 12, 2001, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ JEANNIE CHANG

Jeannie Chang

**N O T I C E**

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.